arXiv:1602.05153v2 [math.CO] 15 Apr 2016

NON-SYMMETRIC MACDONALD POLYNOMIALS AND DEMAZURE–LUSZTIG OPERATORS

PER ALEXANDERSSON

Abstract. We extend the family non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials and define *permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials*. We show that these also satisfy a triangularity property with respect to the monomials bases and behave well under the Demazure–Lusztig operators.

The symmetric Macdonald polynomials P_λ are expressed as a sum of permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials via an explicit formula.

By letting $q = 0$, we obtain t-deformations of key polynomials and Demazure atoms and we show that the Hall–Littlewood polynomials expand positively into these. This generalizes a result by Haglund, Luoto, Mason and van Willigenburg.

As a corollary, we prove that Schur polynomials decompose with non-negative coefficients into t-deformations of general Demazure atoms and thus generalizing the $t = 0$ case which was previously known. This gives a unified formula for the classical expansion of Schur polynomials in Hall–Littlewood polynomials and the expansion of Schur polynomials into Demazure atoms.

1. Introduction

We study a generalization of non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials by adding a permutation parameter σ to the combinatorial model for the classical non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials. These are called *permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials* and were previously introduced in [Fer11]. The parameter σ allows us to interpolate between two different parametrizations of the Macdonald polynomials. This makes some unpublished results by J. Haglund and M. Haiman mentioned in the introduction of [HMR12, LR13] explicit.

This extended family of polynomials satisfies many properties shared with the classical non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials:

- For each fixed value of σ, a triangularity property with respect to expansion in the monomial basis holds. Consequently, the polynomials constitute a basis for $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ for each fixed σ.
- The permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials behave nicely under some affine Hecke algebra operators. These operators are known as the Demazure–Lusztig operators, which can be seen as a t-interpolation between the Demazure operators and the operations that perform a simple transposition on indices of variables.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E10,05A30,33D52.

Key words and phrases. Key polynomials, Demazure characters, standard bases, Macdonald polynomials, Demazure operators, Hall–Littlewood polynomials.

In particular, these operators act on the parameter σ in a simple way, see Proposition 15. Consequently, there is a combinatorial definition based on fillings of diagrams, as well as a recursive definition via such operators.

- We give the expansion of the classical symmetric Macdonald polynomial, P, in the permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials in Theorem 29.
- The specialization $q = 0$ gives t-deformed Demazure atoms. In particular, in Corollary 30 we show that the Hall–Littlewood polynomials expands positively in permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials when $q = 0$, thus extending a result in [HLMvW11].
- The specialization $t = q = 0$ of the permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials give the Demazure characters (also known as key polynomials), and Demazure atoms.
- The result in [Mas09, Prop. 6.1] proves an equality between two combinatorial models for the key polynomials. In Proposition 27, we extend the result to incorporate the t parameter as well as showing then analogous statement for Demazure t-atoms,

Our goal with this paper is therefore to give a unified treatment of non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials and specializations of these, such as Demazure atoms, key polynomials, and operators acting on these. The methods we use are based on the general theory of non-attacking fillings described in [HHL08].

2. Preliminaries – Fillings and statistics

Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ be a list of n different positive integers and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ be a weak integer composition, that is, a vector with non-negative integer entries. An *augmented filling* of shape α and *basement* σ is a filling of a Young diagram of shape $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ with positive integers, augmented with a zeroth column filled from top to bottom with $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$.

Note that we use *English notation*, rather than the skyline fillings used in [HHL08, Mas09].

Definition 1. Let F be an augmented filling. Two boxes a, b, are *attacking* if $F(a) = F(b)$ and the boxes are either in the same column, or they are in adjacent columns, with the rightmost box in a row strictly below the other box.



A filling is *non-attacking* if there are no attacking pairs of boxes.

Definition 2. A *triple of type A* is an arrangement of boxes, a, b, c, located such that a is immediately to the left of b, and c is somewhere below b, and the row containing a and b is at least as long as the row containing c.

Similarly, a *triple of type B* is an arrangement of boxes, a, b, c, located such that a is immediately to the left of b, and c is somewhere above a, and the row containing a and b is *strictly* longer than the row containing c.

A type A triple is an *inversion triple* if the entries ordered increasingly, form a *counter-clockwise* orientation. Similarly, a type B triple is an inversion triple if the entries ordered increasingly form a *clockwise* orientation. If two entries are equal, the one with largest subscript in Eq. (1) is considered largest.

$$\text{Type } A\text{: } \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline a_3 & b_1 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \vdots \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|}\hline c_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \text{Type } B\text{: } \begin{array}{|c|}\hline c_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \vdots \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline a_3 & b_1 \\ \hline \end{array} \tag{1}$$

If $u = (i, j)$ let $d(u)$ denote $(i, j-1)$. A *descent* in F is a non-basement box u such that $F(d(u)) < F(u)$. The set of descents inf F is denoted $\mathrm{Des}(F)$.

Example 3. Below is a non-attacking filling of shape $(4, 1, 3, 0, 1)$ and with basement $(4, 5, 3, 2, 1)$. The bold entries are descents, and the underlined entries form a type A inversion triple. There are 7 inversion triples (of type A and B) in total.

$\underline{4}$	$\underline{2}$	1	**2**	4
5	5			
3	3	**4**	3	
2				
1	$\underline{1}$			

The *leg* of a box, denote $\mathrm{leg}(u)$, in an augmented diagram is the number of boxes to the right of u in the diagram. The *arm*, denoted $\mathrm{arm}(u)$, of a box $u = (r, c)$ in an augmented diagram α is defined as the cardinality of the set

$$\{(r', c) \in \alpha : r < r' \text{ and } \alpha_{r'} \leq \alpha_r\} \cup \{(r', c-1) \in \alpha : r' < r \text{ and } \alpha_{r'} < \alpha_r\}.$$

We illustrate the boxes x and y (in the first and second set in the union, respectively) contributing to $\mathrm{arm}(u)$ below. The boxes marked l contribute to $\mathrm{leg}(u)$. The arm values for all boxes in the diagram are shown in the diagram on the right.

	y				
	y				
		u	l	l	l
		x			
		x			

	4	2	2	1	
	1				
	6	4	3	2	1
	3	1	0		
	1				
	4	3	1	1	

The *major index*, $\mathrm{maj}(F)$, of an augmented filling F is given by

$$\mathrm{maj}(F) = \sum_{u \in \mathrm{Des}(F)} \mathrm{leg}(u) + 1.$$

The *number of inversions*, $\mathrm{inv}(F)$ of a filling is the number of inversion triples of either type. The number of *coinversions*, $\mathrm{coinv}(F)$, is the number of type A and type B triples which are *not* inversion triples.

Let $\mathrm{NAF}_\sigma(\alpha)$ denote all non-attacking fillings of shape α, augmented with the basement $\sigma \in S_n$, and all entries in the fillings are in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Example 4. The set $\mathrm{NAF}_{3124}(1,1,0,2)$ consists of the following augmented fillings:



3. A generalization of non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials

The length of a permutation, $\ell(\sigma)$, is the number of inversions in σ. We use the standard convention and let ω_0 denote the unique longest permutation in S_n, that is, $\omega_0 = (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$ in one-line notation. Permutations act on compositions by permuting the entries. Throughout the paper, α and γ denote compositions, while λ and μ are partitions.

Definition 5. Let $\sigma \in S_n$ and let α be a composition with n parts. The *non-symmetric permuted basement Macdonald polynomial* $\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) = \sum_{F \in \mathrm{NAF}_{\sigma}(\alpha)} \mathbf{x}^F q^{\mathrm{maj}\, F} t^{\mathrm{coinv}\, F} \prod_{\substack{u \in F \\ F(d(u)) = F(u)}} \frac{1-t}{1-q^{1+\mathrm{leg}\, u} t^{1+\mathrm{arm}\, u}}, \tag{2}$$

where $F(d(u)) \neq F(u)$ in the product index if u is a box in the basement.

When $\sigma = \omega_0$, we recover[1] the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials defined in [HHL08], $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$. We refer to this particular value for σ as the *key* basement and we simply write $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ for $\mathrm{E}^{\omega_0}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$.

3.1. Properties of non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials.

The following relation is a part of the Knop–Sahi recurrence relations for Macdonald polynomials [Kno97, Sah96]:

$$\mathrm{E}_{\hat{\alpha}}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) = q^{\alpha_1} x_1 \mathrm{E}_{\alpha}(x_2, \dots, x_n, q^{-1} x_1; q, t) \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{\alpha} = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_1 + 1)$. Also note that

$$\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{(\alpha_1+1, \dots, \alpha_n+1)}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) = (x_1 \cdots x_n) \mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}(\mathbf{x}; q, t),$$

which allow us to extend the definition of non-symmetric Macdonald "polynomials" for compositions α with negative entries.

Proposition 6 (Corollary 3.6.4 in [HHL08])**.** *We have the relation*

$$\mathrm{E}^{\omega_0}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_n; q, t) = \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\alpha}(x_n, \dots, x_1; q^{-1}, t^{-1}).$$

The polynomials appearing in the right hand side above is a version of non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials that are studied in [Mar99].

[1] There is a slight difference in notation, the shape index here is reversed, compared to [HHL08].

Question 7. Is there a relation similar to that in Proposition 6 for other basements?

Using Eq. (2) and Proposition 6, we obtain the following diagram of specializations, where we recover the *key polynomial* $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ and *Demazure atom*, $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$. These specializations were proved in [Mas09] — we give the classical definition (as described in [LS90, RS95]) of key polynomials and Demazure atoms in Section 6.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) & \xrightarrow{\sigma=\omega_0} & \mathrm{E}^{\omega_0}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) & \xrightarrow{t=q=0} & \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha=\lambda} & \mathrm{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma=\mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \substack{t=q=\infty \\ x_i\to x_{n+1-i}}} & & & & \\ \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) & \xrightarrow{t=q=0} & \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) & & & & \end{array}$$

It is also easy to verify that semi-standard augmented fillings of partition shape λ and basement ω_0 can be put into bijection with semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ. This shows that key polynomial $\mathcal{K}_\lambda$ specialize to the Schur polynomial s_λ (in n variables) whenever λ is a partition.

The classical non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials specialize to other well-known families of polynomials:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{E}_\alpha(\mathbf{x};q,t) & \xrightarrow{*} & \mathrm{P}_\lambda(\mathbf{x};q,t) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \substack{\alpha=\lambda \\ q=1 \\ t=0}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \substack{q=1 \\ t=0}} \\ e_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{x}) & & m_\lambda(\mathbf{x}). \end{array}$$

Here, $*$ is indicate the relation

$$\mathrm{E}_{(\lambda,0^n)}(x_1,\ldots,x_n,0,\ldots,0;q,t) = \mathrm{P}_\lambda(x_1,\ldots,x_n;q,t)$$

for partitions λ with n parts. The polynomials $\mathrm{E}_\alpha(\mathbf{x};1,0)$ can thus be interpreted as a non-symmetric analogue of the elementary symmetric functions e_λ.

Some specializations of non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials, such as $\mathrm{E}_\alpha(\mathbf{x};q,0)$ and $\mathrm{E}_\alpha(\mathbf{x};q^{-1},\infty)$ have representation-theoretical interpretations, see [FM15b, FM15a]. In particular, we note that [FM15b] consider the combinatorial model defined in (2) in an expansion of some $\mathrm{E}_\alpha(\mathbf{x};q^{-1},\infty)$.

3.2. **Alcove walk model.** Another interesting article concerning non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials is [RY11], which gives a combinatorial model using alcove walks. The basic idea is to repeatedly use Proposition 17 below, expand the product and interpret the terms. This method expresses a Macdonald polynomials as a sum over alcove walks, starting at the *fundamental alcove* and ending at the alcove representing the particular Macdonald polynomial we are interested in.

It would be an interesting project to see if permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials can be generated in this way. A natural conjecture is that the choice of a starting alcove — which can be done in $n!$ ways — corresponds to the basement.

This interpretation would allow us to extend permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials to other types. Note that the notion of key polynomials is known in other types, defined via crystal operators, see for example [PH15].

3.3. **Triangularity.** In this subsection, we prove that the permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials satisfy a triangularity property with respect to the monomial basis.

Definition 8. We define the *Bruhat order* on compositions of m with n parts as the transitive closure of the following relations:

- If $i < j$ and $\alpha_j > \alpha_i$ then $\alpha >_{\text{st}} s_{ij}(\alpha)$ where s_{ij} is the transposition (i, j).
- If $i < j$ and $\alpha_j - \alpha_i > 1$ then $s_{ij}(\alpha) >_{\text{st}} \alpha + e_i - e_j$.

Just as for the standard non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials, the $\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ satisfy a triangularity condition with respect to the monomial basis:

$$\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) \in \mathbf{x}^{\sigma^{-1}(\alpha)} + \mathbb{Q}(q, t)\{\mathbf{x}^{\sigma^{-1}(\gamma)} : \gamma <_{\text{st}} \alpha\}. \tag{4}$$

Alternatively, this can be expressed in a slightly more pleasant way as

$$\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\sigma\mathbf{x}; q, t) \in \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} + \mathbb{Q}(q, t)\{\mathbf{x}^{\gamma} : \gamma <_{\text{st}} \alpha\}. \tag{5}$$

We prove triangularity with respect to a lexicographic total ordering, similar to what is done in [Mac95] for the classical symmetric Macdonald polynomials. This ordering extends the Bruhat order defined above. Here, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\alpha)$ denotes the unique partition obtained from α by sorting the parts in a decreasing manner, and $>_{\text{lex}}$ is the standard lexicographic order, comparing elements componentwise from left to right.

Proposition 9 (Triangularity)**.** *Let γ and α be weak compositions of m with n parts. Then for any basement $\sigma \in S_n$,*

$$[\mathbf{x}^{\sigma^{-1}(\gamma)}]\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\gamma) >_{\text{lex}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\alpha), \\ 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\alpha) \text{ and } \gamma >_{\text{lex}} \alpha, \\ 1 & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First note that $[x^{\sigma^{-1}(\gamma)}]\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) = [x^{\gamma_1}_{\sigma_1} x^{\gamma_2}_{\sigma_2} \cdots x^{\gamma_k}_{\sigma_k}]\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$, so we focus on non-attacking fillings of shape α and γ_i entries with value σ_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Case $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\gamma) >_{\text{lex}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\alpha)$: Let $\lambda = \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\gamma)$ and $\mu = \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\alpha)$. The condition implies that there is some $j \geq 1$ such that

$$\lambda_1 = \mu_1, \quad \lambda_2 = \mu_2, \quad \ldots \quad \lambda_{j-1} = \mu_{j-1} \text{ and } \lambda_j > \mu_j.$$

Suppose there is a way to create a non-attacking filling with these properties. Then there must be λ_1 equal entries in different columns, then λ_2 equal entries in different columns and so on.

If $j = 1$, it is evident that there is no such non-attacking filling, since λ_1 entries must appear in different columns but there are only $\mu_1 (< \lambda_1)$ columns available.

In the case $j > 1$, it is straightforward to show by induction that after placing the first $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_{j-1}$ entries, the number of columns with available empty boxes is μ_j. Since $\mu_j < \lambda_j$, there is no non-attacking filling with weight $x^{\gamma_1}_{\sigma_1} x^{\gamma_2}_{\sigma_2} \cdots x^{\gamma_n}_{\sigma_n}$, shape γ and basement σ if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\gamma) >_{\text{lex}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\alpha)$.

Case $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\alpha)$ and $\gamma >_{\text{lex}} \alpha$: Assume that there is a filling T with shape α and weight $x^{\gamma_1}_{\sigma_1} x^{\gamma_2}_{\sigma_2} \cdots x^{\gamma_n}_{\sigma_n}$. Let γ_i be a largest entry in γ. This implies that there

is exactly one entry σ_i in each column of T and in particular, at the end of some longest row with length $\alpha_l = \gamma_i$. The non-attacking condition for adjacent columns now implies that if column c has an entry equal to σ_i in row r_1, and column $c+1$ has an entry equal to σ_i in row r_2, then $r_1 \geq r_2$. Hence T is of the form exemplified in Eq. (6) where $*$ marks entries with value σ_i.

σ_1				α_1				
σ_2				$*$	$*$	$*$	α_2	
σ_3		$*$	$*$		α_3			,
σ_4	$*$	α_4						
σ_5			α_5					

(6)

It follows that $i \geq l$. By removing the last box in row l, we obtain a smaller filling T', with weight and shape given by

$$\gamma' = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}, \gamma_i - 1, \gamma_{i+1}, \ldots, \gamma_n), \qquad \alpha' = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l - 1, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n).$$

Finally, note that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\gamma') = \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\alpha')$ and $\gamma' >_{\text{lex}} \alpha'$, since $\gamma_i = \alpha_l$ and $i \geq l$.

However, this is absurd, since repeating this procedure eventually yields the empty filling, where $\gamma >_{\text{lex}} \alpha$ is no longer true. Therefore, there cannot be a valid filling T satisfying all conditions to begin with.

Case $\gamma = \alpha$: As in the previous case, we suppose that there is a filling, T, satisfying these conditions, and we repeatedly remove a box from a longest row. This operation preserves the property $\gamma \geq_{\text{lex}} \alpha$, but we know that as soon as a strict inequality is obtained, there is no such filling.

In order to have equality $\gamma = \alpha$ after each removal of a box, we need that all σ_i appear in the same row. It follows that there is a unique filling, where every row i is filled with boxes with value σ_i. This filling has no inversions and no two different horizontally adjacent boxes, so T contributes with the monomial $x_{\sigma_1}^{\gamma_1} x_{\sigma_2}^{\gamma_2} \cdots x_{\sigma_n}^{\gamma_n}$. This proves the triangularity statement in (4). □

Question 10. Is there a natural inner product (depending on σ) for which the $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ form an orthogonal basis?

4. Demazure–Lusztig operators

In this section we introduce a set of operators acting on polynomials in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. These appear in in the study of key polynomials and Demazure atoms, see *e.g.* the paper [RS95] by V. Reiner and M. Shimozono for a background on key polynomials, as well as properties of these operators.

Let s_i be a simple transposition on indices of variables and define

$$\partial_i = \frac{1 - s_i}{x_i - x_{i+1}}, \quad \pi_i = \partial_i x_i, \quad \theta_i = \pi_i - 1.$$

Note that $\partial_i(f)$ is indeed a polynomial if f is, since $f - s_i f$ is divisible by $x_i - x_{i+1}$. The operators π_i and θ_i are used to define the key polynomials and Demazure atoms, respectively, and we give this definition further down. It should be mentioned that

θ_i and π_i are closely related to *crystal operators* and i-strings, see [Mas09] for details. Now define the following t-deformations of the above operators:

$$\tilde{\pi}_i(f) = (1-t)\pi_i(f) + ts_i(f) \qquad \tilde{\theta}_i(f) = (1-t)\theta_i(f) + ts_i(f). \tag{7}$$

The $\tilde{\theta}_i$ are called the *Demazure–Lusztig operators* and are generators for the affine Hecke algebra that appear in [HHL08] (where $\tilde{\theta}_i$ is denoted T_i). A similar set of operators appear in [LLT97, p.4], in the definition of Hall–Littlewood functions.

It should be mentioned that [Fer11] provides a nice characterization the permuted-basement Macdonald polynomials as simultaneous eigenfunctions of certain products of such operators and the operation in (3). This is a generalization of *Cherednik's representation* [Che95] of the affine Hecke algebra mentioned above.

4.1. **Some properties of $\tilde{\theta}_i$ and $\tilde{\pi}_i$.** Using the definition above, it is straightforward to show that $\tilde{\theta}_i^2 = (t-1)\tilde{\theta}_i + t$, which implies that $\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\theta}_i(f) = \tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\pi}_i(f) = tf$. Hence, $\tilde{\theta}_i$ and $\tilde{\pi}_i$ are essentially inverses of each other. We also have that $\tilde{\pi}_i$ can be expressed in $\tilde{\theta}_i$ as

$$\tilde{\pi}_i(f) = \tilde{\theta}_i(f) + (1-t)(f). \tag{8}$$

As for the s_i, the $\tilde{\theta}_i$ and $\tilde{\pi}_i$ satisfy the braid relations:

- $\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_j = \tilde{\theta}_j\tilde{\theta}_i$ whenever $|i-j| \geq 2$ and
- $\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_j\tilde{\theta}_i = \tilde{\theta}_j\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_j$ when $|i-j| = 1$.

The same relations hold for the $\tilde{\pi}_i$. This implies that if $\omega = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_\ell$ and $\omega' = \omega'_1 \cdots \omega'_\ell$ are both reduced words for the same permutation in S_n, then $\tilde{\theta}_{\omega_1}\tilde{\theta}_{\omega_2}\cdots\tilde{\theta}_{\omega_\ell} = \tilde{\theta}_{\omega'_1}\tilde{\theta}_{\omega'_2}\cdots\tilde{\theta}_{\omega'_\ell}$. Hence, if $\tau \in S_n$ is a permutation, we can define $\tilde{\theta}_\tau$ as $\tilde{\theta}_{\omega_1}\tilde{\theta}_{\omega_2}\cdots\tilde{\theta}_{\omega_\ell}$ where ω is any reduced word for τ. The braid relations above ensure that this is *independent* of the choice of reduced word and thus well-defined. We define $\tilde{\pi}_\tau$ in a similar fashion.

The following lemma is a straightforward consequence of the definitions above:

Lemma 11. *If f is symmetric in x_ix_{i+1} then*

- $\tilde{\theta}_i(f) = tf$,
- $\tilde{\pi}_i(f) = f$,
- $\tilde{\theta}_i(f \cdot g) = f \cdot \tilde{\theta}_i(g)$ *for any* g,
- $\tilde{\theta}_j f(\mathbf{x})$ *is symmetric in* x_ix_{i+1} *for* $j \notin \{i-1, i+1\}$.

The following lemma is an important tool in Section 4.4.

Lemma 12 ([HHL08])**.** *We have $\tilde{\theta}_i(f) = g$* if and only if *$f+g$ and $tx_{i+1}f + x_ig$ are both symmetric in x_ix_j.*

Lemma 13. *The following* mixed *braid relations holds for $\tilde{\pi}_i$ and $\tilde{\theta}_i$:*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1}\tilde{\theta}_i &= \tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1} \\ \tilde{\pi}_{i-1}\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1} &= \tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1}\tilde{\pi}_i \end{cases} \quad \textit{and} \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\pi}_{i-1}\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1} &= \tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\tilde{\pi}_i \\ \tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\tilde{\theta}_i &= \tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Express $\tilde{\pi}_i$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{i-1}$ in terms of $\tilde{\theta}_i$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}$ respectively and expand. □

4.2. **Something about knots.** There is a deep connection between Macdonald polynomials and knot theory, see for example the connection between Jones polynomials and Macdonald polynomials [Che12]. It is not surprising, given the braid relations involved with $\tilde{\theta}_i$ and $\tilde{\pi}_i$. For a background on the braid group, see the introduction and definitions in [Deh08]. Intuitively, $\tilde{\theta}_i$ and $\tilde{\pi}_i$ can be seen as $\hat{s}_i$ and $\hat{s}_i^{-1}$ in the Artin presentation of the braid group. The the relations in 13 are compatible with this interpretation, the only caveat here is that $\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\pi}_i = t$, while $\hat{s}_i\hat{s}_i^{-1} = \mathrm{id}$. This has the consequence that if $\hat{s}_{i_1}^{\pm 1}\hat{s}_{i_2}^{\pm 1}\cdots\hat{s}_{i_\ell}^{\pm 1}$ is a *reduced word* in the braid group, then substituting $\hat{s}_i \mapsto \tilde{\theta}_i$ and $\hat{s}_i^{-1} \mapsto \tilde{\pi}_i$ gives a reduced word of operators. Furthermore, if w_1 and w_2 are *reduced words* representing the same braid, then the corresponding compositions of operators acts the same.

4.3. **Symmetries of diagram fillings.** In this subsection, we introduce the necessary notation to state an important proposition proved in [HHL08]. The complete proof is fairly involved and closely related to the theory of LLT polynomials, see the Appendix in [Hag07].

We generalize the notion of diagrams, arm values, leg values, major index and inversions. A *lattice-square diagram* $\mathcal{D}$ is subset of boxes $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. The *reading order* of a lattice diagram is the total order given by reading squares column by column from right to left, and from top to bottom within each column, as in (9).



(9)

Arm and leg values for each box in a lattice-square diagram are arbitrary fixed non-negative integers. We say that two boxes u, v form an *inversion pair* in a filling F if they are attacking, v precedes u in reading order and $F(u) < F(v)$. Similarly, the *descent set*, $\operatorname{Des} F$, of a filling is the set of boxes $u \in F$ such that $d(u) \in F$ and $F(d(u)) < F(u)$. Define maj and inv statistics for arbitrary lattice-square fillings as

$$\operatorname{inv} F = |\{(u, v) : u, v \text{ form an inversion pair in } F\}| - \sum_{s \in \operatorname{Des} F} \operatorname{arm} s \tag{10}$$

$$\operatorname{maj} F = \sum_{s \in \operatorname{Des} F} (1 + \operatorname{leg} s). \tag{11}$$

It is shown in [HHL08] that these definitions extends the corresponding statistics on augmented fillings.

The following powerful proposition appears in [HHL08, Prop. 4.2.5] which is later used to determine symmetries of expressions obtained as a sum over non-attacking fillings.

Proposition 14. *Consider two disjoint lattice diagrams, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and two disjoint subsets $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{S}$ and suppose we have a fixed filling $B : \mathcal{B} \to [n]$ which does not contain the entries i and $i + 1$. Fix arm and leg values for all boxes u such that $u \in \mathcal{S}$ and $d(u) \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}$. For any filling $F : \mathcal{S} \to [n]$, set $\hat{F} = B \cup F$. Then*

the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{F:\mathcal{S}\to[n]\\ \hat{F}\ \textit{non-attacking}\\ F(\mathcal{Y})\cap\{i,i+1\}=\emptyset\\ F(\mathcal{Z})\subseteq\{i,i+1\}}} x^F q^{\operatorname{maj}\hat{F}} t^{-\operatorname{inv}\hat{F}} \prod_{\substack{u\in\mathcal{S},\ d(u)\in\hat{\mathcal{S}}\\ \hat{F}(u)=\hat{F}(d(u))}} \left(1-q^{1+\operatorname{leg}u}t^{1+\operatorname{arm}u}\right) \prod_{\substack{u\in\mathcal{S}\\ \hat{F}(u)\neq\hat{F}(d(u))}} (1-t)$$

where in the last factor, $\hat{F}(u)\neq\hat{F}(d(u))$ is considered to be true if $d(u)\notin\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, is symmetric in x_ix_{i+1}.

The fixed filling B plays the rôle of a basement and the sets $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are subsets of $\mathcal{S}$ that specify which boxes should and should not contain i and $i+1$.

4.4. **Permuting the basement.** The following two properties in Proposition 15 are essentially inverses of each other — we can use $\tilde{\theta}_i$ to decrease the length of the basement and $\tilde{\pi}_i$ to increase the length. The result in the following proposition appears without proof in [Fer11], referencing a private communication with J. Haglund. We provide a full proof below.

Proposition 15 (Basement permuting operators)**.** *Let α be a composition and let σ be a permutation. Furthermore, let γ_i be the length of the row with basement label i, that is, $\gamma_i=\alpha_{\sigma_i^{-1}}$.*

If $\ell(\sigma s_i)<\ell(\sigma)$, then

$$\tilde{\theta}_i \mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};q,t)=\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x};q,t)\times\begin{cases}t & \textit{if } \gamma_i\le\gamma_{i+1}\\ 1 & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Similarly, if $\ell(\sigma s_i)>\ell(\sigma)$, then

$$\tilde{\pi}_i \mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};q,t)=\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x};q,t)\times\begin{cases}t & \textit{if } \gamma_i<\gamma_{i+1}\\ 1 & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 12, to prove (12), it is enough to show two symmetries in x_ix_{i+1}. There are two cases to consider:

Case $\gamma_i\le\gamma_{i+1}$: It suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};q,t)+t\cdot\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x};q,t) \quad\text{and}\quad tx_{i+1}\cdot\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};q,t)+tx_i\cdot\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x};q,t) \tag{14}$$

are symmetric in x_ix_{i+1}. We show these symmetries using Proposition 14.

For the first symmetry, let $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ be the augmented diagram with shape α and let $\mathcal{B}$ be all boxes in the basement σ not containing $\{i,i+1\}$. Now consider non-attacking fillings $\hat{\sigma}:\hat{\mathcal{S}}\to[n]$: each such filling has i and $i+1$ appearing in the basement column exactly once. Thus, every such filling $\hat{\sigma}$ corresponds to either a filling for E_α^σ or $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}$. However, there is an extra inversion of type A in the leftmost diagram in (15), compared to the diagram on the right, given by the boxes marked $\{i,i+1,\infty\}$.



(15)

It follows that the sum over non-attacking fillings with basement σ in (15) is, up to a constant, $t^{-1}\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma$, and the sum over fillings with basement σs_i is, up to *the same* constant, $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}$. Proposition 14 states that the total sum $t^{-1}\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma + \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}$ is symmetric in $x_i x_{i+1}$ which implies the first symmetry in (14).

To show the second symmetry, let $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ be the augmented diagram of shape α, with an additional box u in row σ_{i+1}^{-1} and column -1. The set $\mathcal{B}$ is again all boxes in the basement σ except the boxes containing $\{i, i+1\}$. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{u\}$ in Proposition 14, such that the box u may only contain $\{i, i+1\}$. The non-attacking condition then forces the fillings of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ to be of the forms in (16) — ignoring u, these fillings produce E_α^σ and $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}$.



(16)

There are no extra inversions in this case, so Proposition 14 implies that $x_{i+1}\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma + x_i\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}$ is symmetric in $x_i x_{i+1}$, implying the second requirement in (14).

Case $\gamma_i > \gamma_{i+1}$: Using the exact same strategy as in previous case, we need to show that

$$\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t) + \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) \quad \text{and} \quad t x_{i+1} \cdot \mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t) + x_i \cdot \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) \tag{17}$$

are symmetric in $x_i x_{i+1}$.

As before, we fix the basement entries which are not in $\{i, i+1\}$ and consider fillings of the two types in (18).



(18)

In this case, there is no extra inversion in either of these (we can imagine that the boxes marked ∞_1 and ∞_2 are greater than all other boxes, and $\infty_2 > \infty_1$) so the first symmetry in (17) is straightforward.

Finally, as in the previous case, we add an extra box $u \in \mathcal{Z}$, filled with either i or $i+1$. The fillings in (19) then give $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ and $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$.



(19)

We note that the second type has an extra inversion of type B, given by entries $\{i, i+1, \infty\}$ with i and ∞ in the leftmost column. Hence, $x_{i+1} \cdot \mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t) + t^{-1} x_i \cdot \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ is symmetric in $x_i x_{i+1}$ which implies the last symmetry needed.

Relation (13) now follows from the first by applying $\tilde{\pi}_i$ on both sides of Eq. (12) and use the fact that $\tilde{\pi}_i \tilde{\theta}_i(f) = tf$ for all f. □

Repeated application of these operators gives us the following corollary:

Corollary 16. *Let σ and α be given and define* $\mathrm{twinv}_\theta(\alpha,\sigma)$ *and* $\mathrm{twinv}_\pi(\alpha,\sigma)$ *as*

$$\mathrm{twinv}_\theta(\alpha,\sigma) = |\{(i,j) : i<j,\ \alpha_i \le \alpha_j \text{ and } \sigma_i < \sigma_j\}|$$
$$\mathrm{twinv}_\pi(\alpha,\sigma) = |\{(i,j) : i<j,\ \alpha_i < \alpha_j \text{ and } \sigma_i < \sigma_j\}|$$

Then

$$\tilde{\theta}_\sigma \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\omega_0}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = t^{\mathrm{twinv}_\theta(\omega_0\alpha,\sigma)}\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\omega_0\sigma}(\mathbf{x};q,t) \tag{20}$$
$$\tilde{\pi}_\sigma \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\mathrm{id}}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = t^{\mathrm{twinv}_\pi(\alpha,\sigma)}\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma}(\mathbf{x};q,t). \tag{21}$$

Proof. The proof is more or less immediate via induction over $\ell(\sigma)$ by unraveling (12) and (13). □

4.5. **Permuting the shape.** We now prove a more general version of an identity in [HHL08], where the case $\sigma = \omega_0$ is proved.

Proposition 17 (Shape permuting operators)**.** *If $\alpha_j < \alpha_{j+1}$, $\sigma_j = i+1$ and $\sigma_{j+1} = i$ for some i, j, then*

$$\mathrm{E}_{s_j\alpha}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \left(\tilde{\theta}_i + \frac{1-t}{1-q^{1+\mathrm{leg}\,u}t^{\mathrm{arm}\,u}}\right)\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};q,t), \tag{22}$$

where $u = (j+1, \alpha_j+1)$ in the diagram of shape α.

Proof. The case $\sigma = \omega_0$ is our base case in an inductive argument over different basements. It is enough to show the following equalities (for fixed α):

(1) Equation (22) holds for the triple (σ,i,j) if and only if it holds for $(\sigma s_k,i,j)$ if $k \neq \{i-1,i,i+1\}$.
(2) Equation (22) holds for (σ,i,j) with $(\sigma_{j-1},\sigma_j,\sigma_{j+1}) = (i-1,i+1,i)$ if and only if it holds for $(\sigma s_{i-1}s_i, i-1, j)$.
(3) Equation (22) holds for (σ,i,j) where $(\sigma_j,\sigma_{j+1},\sigma_{j+2}) = (i+1,i,i-1)$ if and only if it holds for $(\sigma s_{i-1}s_i, i-1, j)$.

The first equality ensures that we are free to permute the basement labels not involving i and $i+1$. The last two equalities allow us to increase (decrease) the basement labels on rows j, $j+1$ by one, provided that there is also a third row where the label is decreased (increased) by two. It is easy to see that with these operations, one can reach any configuration (σ,i,j) satisfying the conditions in Proposition 17 from the base case.

Consider the statement

$$\mathrm{E}_{s_j\alpha}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \left(\tilde{\theta}_i + C_u\right)\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};q,t), \qquad C_u = \frac{1-t}{1-q^{1+\mathrm{leg}\,u}t^{\mathrm{arm}\,u}}. \tag{23}$$

Case 1: We want to show that (22) holds for the left configuration in (24) if and only if it holds for the right hand side. Note that (24) only illustrate on of several

possible relative positions of k, $k+1$ and i.



(24)

We apply $\tilde{\theta}_k$ or $\tilde{\pi}_k$ depending on if $k+1$ appears below or above k, respectively, on both sides of (23). Both $\tilde{\theta}_k$ and $\tilde{\pi}_k$ commute with $\tilde{\theta}_i$ since $|k-i| \geq 2$ and we obtain

$$t^* \cdot \mathrm{E}^{\sigma s_k}_{s_j\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = t^* \cdot \left(\tilde{\theta}_i + C_u\right) \mathrm{E}^{\sigma s_k}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) \tag{25}$$

using Proposition 15. The factor t^* depends on the relative lengths of rows with basement label k and $k+1$, but it is the same on both sides. Since going from (23) to (25) is invertible, we have the desired equality.

Case 2: To get from the basement σ in the left hand side in (26) to the basement in the right hand side, we need to perform s_{i-1} followed by s_i as right multiplication.



(26)

Note that $\ell(\sigma) < \ell(\sigma s_{i-1}) < \ell(\sigma s_{i-1} s_i)$, so to transform the basement in (23) from σ to $\sigma s_{i-1} s_i$, we need to apply $\tilde{\pi}_{i-1}$ followed by $\tilde{\pi}_i$. We get

$$(\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1})\, \mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{s_j\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = (\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1}) \left(\tilde{\theta}_i + C_u\right) \mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t).$$

The right hand side is expanded and the square brackets has been rewritten using Lemma 13:

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1})\, \mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{s_j\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) &= \left[\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1}\right] \mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) + C_u\, (\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1})\, \mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) \\ &= \left(\tilde{\theta}_{i-1} + C_u\right) (\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1})\, \mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t).\end{aligned}$$

The operators $\tilde{\pi}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i-1}$ now act on the basement, giving a factor t^*. Note that this factor is the same on both sides since the comparisons performed on row lengths are the same for α and $s_j\alpha$. The end result is the relation

$$\mathrm{E}^{\sigma s_{i-1} s_i}_{s_j\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \left[\tilde{\theta}_{i-1} + C_u\right] \mathrm{E}^{\sigma s_{i-1} s_i}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)$$

which is what we wish to prove. Since every step has an inverse, we have the desired equivalence.

Case 3: This case, showing the equivalence in Eq. (27), is performed in the same manner as in the previous case, now using $\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}$ followed by $\tilde{\theta}_i$ to go from the configuration in the left hand side to the one in the right hand side.



(27)

We apply $\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}$ on both sides of (23) and obtain

$$\left(\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\right)\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{s_j\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)=\left(\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\right)\left(\tilde{\theta}_i+C_u\right)\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t).$$

Expanding the right hand side, where the braid relation has been used in the square bracket, gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\right)\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{s_j\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)&=\left[\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\right]\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)+C_u\left(\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\right)\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)\\&=\left[\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}+C_u\right]\left(\tilde{\theta}_i\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\right)\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t).\end{aligned}$$

As in the previous case, this implies the equality. □

Note that for any A not depending on the x_i, we can invert the operator $(\tilde{\theta}_i+A)$. We have that

$$(\tilde{\theta}_i+A)^{-1}=\frac{(A+t-1-\tilde{\theta}_i)}{(A-1)(A-t)},$$

which is easy to prove using $\tilde{\theta}_i^2=(t-1)\tilde{\theta}_i+t$. This fact together with Proposition 17 implies the following proposition.

Proposition 18 (Shape permuting operators II)**.** *If $\alpha_j>\alpha_{j+1}$, $\sigma_j=i+1$ and $\sigma_{j+1}=i$ for some i, j, then*

$$\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{s_j\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)=\frac{(C_u+t-1-\tilde{\theta}_i)\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)}{(C_u-1)(C_u-t)} \tag{28}$$

where $C_u=\frac{1-t}{1-q^{1+\operatorname{leg} u}t^{1+\operatorname{arm} u}}$ and $u=(j,\alpha_{j+1}+1)$ in the diagram with shape α.

These two identities tell us how $\tilde{\theta}_i$ act on non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials. The case $\alpha_j=\alpha_{j+1}$ is discussed in the next section. The special cases with $\sigma=\omega_0$ or $\sigma=\mathrm{id}$ appear in various places, [MN98, BF97, Mar99].

5. Partial symmetries

The goal of this section is to prove partial symmetries of non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials. More specifically, if the shape α and basement σ are such that the augmented diagram is of the form



(29)

where two adjacent rows have equal lengths and the basement labels differ by 1, then the corresponding Macdonald polynomial $\mathrm{E}^{\sigma}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)$ is symmetric in x_ix_{i+1}. We prove this by showing several implications, which turns into an inductive proof.

In [Mar99], the polynomials $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},q,t)$ were studied. For example, he derives the analogous formulas for the shape-permuting operators. We need the following statement from the same section — now translated to our notation — which appears in [Mar99, Equation (3.4)]:

Lemma 19. *Suppose $\alpha_i=\alpha_{i+1}$. Then $\theta_i\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)=t\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};q,t)$.*

It would be interesting go give a combinatorial proof of this identity using Proposition 14.

Lemma 20. *Suppose $\alpha_j = \alpha_{j+1}$ and $\{\sigma_j, \sigma_{j+1}\} = \{i, i+1\}$ for some j, i. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ *is symmetric in* $x_i x_{i+1}$,
(2) $\tilde{\theta}_i \mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t) = t\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$,
(3) $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ *is symmetric in* $x_i x_{i+1}$,
(4) $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_k}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$, $k \notin \{i-1, i, i+1\}$, *is symmetric in* $x_i x_{i+1}$.

Proof. We have that (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) using Lemma 12, (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) using Proposition 15 and Lemma 11, and finally (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) by using Lemma 11. □

Lemma 21. *Suppose $\alpha_j = \alpha_{j+1}$ and $\{\sigma_j, \sigma_{j+1}\} = \{i, i+1\}$ for some j, $i \geq 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ *is symmetric in* $x_i x_{i+1}$,
(2) $\mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_{i-1} s_i}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ *is symmetric in* $x_{i-1} x_i$.

Proof. Proposition 15 implies that either

$$\tilde{\theta}_i \tilde{\theta}_{i-1} \mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t) = t^* \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_{i-1} s_i}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) \text{ or } \tilde{\pi}_i \tilde{\pi}_{i-1} \mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t) = t^* \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\sigma s_{i-1} s_i}$$

depending on if the basemen label $i-1$ appear earlier or later than i in σ. By linearity, it suffices to verify the stronger statement that $\tilde{\theta}_i \tilde{\theta}_{i-1}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_i \tilde{\pi}_{i-1}$ maps a monomial symmetric in $x_i x_{i+1}$ to a monomial symmetric in $x_{i-1} x_i$. This calculation is tedious, but can be verified explicitly with the definition of the Demazure–Lusztig operators. Note that it is enough to do the computation with $\tilde{\theta}_2 \tilde{\theta}_1$ on the monomial $x_1^a x_2^b x_3^b$, and this can be done symbolically in a modern computer algebra system such as Mathematica. □

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 22 (Partial symmetry)**.** *Suppose $\alpha_j = \alpha_{j+1}$ and that $\{\sigma_j, \sigma_{j+1}\}$ take the values $\{i, i+1\}$ for some j, i. Then $\mathrm{E}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ is symmetric in $x_i x_{i+1}$.*

Proof. The first two items in Lemma 20 together with Lemma 19 implies that the statement is true whenever $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$.

We now argue in the same manner as in the proof of Proposition 17: Lemma 20 together with Lemma 21 implies that we can permute the basement as long as σ_j and σ_{j+1} differ by one, and still having the statement in the theorem to be true.

In other words, we can reach any basement where $\{\sigma_j, \sigma_{j+1}\}$ take the values $\{i, i+1\}$, using the operations on the basement described in the previous two lemmas, all while preserving the symmetry property. □

Let $\alpha \sim \gamma$ indicate that α and γ are permutations of the same partition.

Corollary 23. *Fix a shape α and let V be the subspace in $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)[\mathbf{x}]$ spanned by $\{\mathrm{E}_\gamma^{\omega_0}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) : \gamma \sim \alpha\}$. Let $\gamma \sim \alpha$. Then*

$$\tilde{\theta}_i \mathrm{E}_\gamma^{\omega_0}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) \in V,\ \tilde{\pi}_i \mathrm{E}_\gamma^{\omega_0}(\mathbf{x}; q, t) \in V \text{ and } \mathrm{E}_\gamma^\sigma(\mathbf{x}; q, t) \in V, \tag{30}$$

for any i and σ.

Proof. It is straightforward to show that $\tilde{\theta}_i \mathrm{E}_\gamma^{\omega_0}(\mathbf{x};q,t) \in V$: whenever the rows with basement label i and $i+1$ have different lengths the statement follows from Proposition 17 or Proposition 18. In the case of equal lengths, it follows from Theorem 22, since then $\tilde{\theta}_i \mathrm{E}_\gamma^{\omega_0}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = t\mathrm{E}_\gamma^{\omega_0}(\mathbf{x};q,t)$. This implies that the $\tilde{\theta}_i$ preserves V. That $\tilde{\pi}_i \mathrm{E}_\gamma^{\omega_0}(\mathbf{x};q,t) \in V$ now follows from expressing $\tilde{\pi}_i$ in terms of $\tilde{\theta}_i$ as in Eq. (8). Finally, the last statement is a consequence of Proposition 15 using the fact that the basement-permuting operators preserve V. □

6. Properties of permuted basement t-atoms

We define the Demazure t-atoms as $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\mathrm{id}}(\mathbf{x};0,t)$ and the permuted-basement Demazure t-atoms as $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathrm{E}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};0,t)$. Similarly, the t-key polynomials are defined as $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathrm{E}_\alpha^{\omega_0}(\mathbf{x};0,t)$. The t-atoms was previously introduced in [HLMvW11] and they have remarkable similarities with Hall–Littlewood polynomials.

Remark 24. Note, the permuted-basement Demazure atoms we obtain from $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};0)$ *do not* agree in general with the extension of Demazure atoms introduced in [HMR12, LR13]. They impose an extra condition (called the B-increasing condition) on the underlying fillings which they call *permuted basement fillings (PBF)*. One underlying reason for imposing this extra condition is to be able to do an analogue of RSK on these fillings.

Lemma 25. *Suppose $\alpha_j < \alpha_{j+1}$. If $\sigma_j = i+1$ and $\sigma_{j+1} = i$ for some i, j, then*

$$\tilde{\pi}_i \mathcal{A}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathcal{A}_{s_i\alpha}^\sigma(\mathbf{x};t). \tag{31}$$

Similarly, if $\sigma_j = i$ and $\sigma_{j+1} = i+1$ for some i, j, then

$$\tilde{\pi}_i \mathcal{A}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};t) = t\mathcal{A}_{s_i\alpha}^\sigma(\mathbf{x};t). \tag{32}$$

Proof. To obtain (31), put $q=0$ in Proposition 17 and use the fact that $\tilde{\theta}_i + (1-t) = \tilde{\pi}_i$. The second equation is a consequence of the first as follows. Start with $\sigma_j = i+1$ and $\sigma_{j+1} = i$ and apply $\tilde{\theta}_i$ on both sides of (31):

$$\tilde{\theta}_i \tilde{\pi}_i \mathcal{A}_\alpha^\sigma(\mathbf{x};t) = \tilde{\theta}_i \mathcal{A}_{s_i\alpha}^\sigma(\mathbf{x};t)$$

The right hand side is rewritten using (12). In the left hand side we use the same identity after using the fact that $\tilde{\pi}_i$ and $\tilde{\theta}_i$ commutes:

$$\tilde{\pi}_i \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x};t) = t\mathcal{A}_{s_i\alpha}^{\sigma s_i}(\mathbf{x};t).$$

This now implies (32). □

Corollary 26. *The operators $\tilde{\theta}_i$ and $\tilde{\pi}_i$ act on the shape of the t-atom and t-key in the following way:*

$$\tilde{\theta}_i \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathcal{A}_{s_i\alpha}(\mathbf{x};t) \text{ if } \alpha_i > \alpha_{i+1}, \tag{33}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_i \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathcal{K}_{s_i\alpha}(\mathbf{x};t) \text{ if } \alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1}. \tag{34}$$

Proof. The first statement is a direct consequence of applying $\tilde{\theta}_i$ on both sides of (32) followed by using $\theta_i\tilde{\pi}_i = t$ and substituting α with $s_i\alpha$. The second statement is (31) with $\sigma = \omega_0$. □

The following two identities generalize a result which appears in [Mas09, Proposition 6.1]. The conclusion is that any t-key and t-atom polynomial can be obtained from a permuted-basement t-atom with a *partition* or *reverse partition* shape, respectively.

Proposition 27. *Let σ be a fixed permutation, let λ be a partition and let $\bar{\mu}$ denote the reverse of a partition μ. Then*

$$\mathcal{K}_{\sigma\lambda}(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{\omega_0\sigma}(\mathbf{x};t) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mu}}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{x};t),$$

where σ is the shortest permutation *taking λ to $\sigma\lambda$, and $\bar{\mu}$ to $\sigma\bar{\mu}$, respectively.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 16 and Proposition 15 using induction over the length of σ. We note that the identities are clearly true when $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$. The first equation is now proved as follows: We apply $\tilde{\pi}_i$ on both sides, where identity (31) is used on the left hand side and (13) is used on the right hand side. A similar reasoning proves the second identity.

The condition on σ being the shortest permutation ensures that only parts of α with *different* lengths are interchanged. □

Finally, we note that Corollary 26 with $t = 0$ implies (under the same conditions as in Proposition 27) that $\pi_\sigma\mathbf{x}^\lambda = \mathcal{K}_{\sigma\tilde{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\theta_\sigma\mathbf{x}^\mu = \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\mu}(\mathbf{x})$. This is the standard definition of key polynomials and the Demazure atoms, see [LS90, RS95, Mas09].

We are now ready to to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 28. *Given α and σ, there is a sequence $\tilde{\rho}_{i_1}\cdots\tilde{\rho}_{i_\ell}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{x};t) = \tilde{\rho}_{i_1}\cdots\tilde{\rho}_{i_\ell}\mathbf{x}^\lambda \tag{35}$$

where λ is the partition with the parts of α in decreasing order and each $\tilde{\rho}_{i_j}$ is one of $\tilde{\theta}_i$ or $\tilde{\pi}_i$.

Proof. The case $\alpha = \lambda$ and $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ is clear,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{id}}(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathbf{x}^\lambda \tag{36}$$

which follows from the triangularity property. Using (33) repeatedly on both sides of (36), we have that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{id}}(\mathbf{x};t) = \tilde{\theta}_\tau\mathbf{x}^\lambda \tag{37}$$

where τ is the shortest permutation such that $\alpha = \tau\lambda$. Now apply a sequence of $\tilde{\pi}_i$ on both sides in order to transform the basement into σ while fixing the shape, using the second identity in Proposition 15. However, this will in general introduce a power of t, corresponding to how many times we interchange basement labels of rows where the top row is shorter then the bottom row. Using Corollary 16, we obtain

$$t^{\mathrm{twinv}_\pi(\alpha,\sigma)}\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{x};t) = \tilde{\pi}_\sigma\tilde{\theta}_\tau\mathbf{x}^\lambda. \tag{38}$$

Note now that the word $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma \tilde{\theta}_\tau$ is *not reduced*, meaning that we can use the non-mixed brad relations as well as the mixed braid relations in Lemma 13 together with the cancellation $\tilde{\pi}_i \tilde{\theta}_i = t$.

Since the left hand side is a multiple of $t^{\operatorname{twinv}_\pi(\alpha,\sigma)}$, we must have at least this number of canellations in the right hand side. On the other hand, after these cancellations we have

$$\mathcal{A}^\sigma_\alpha(\mathbf{x};t) = t^{-\operatorname{twinv}_\pi(\alpha,\sigma)} \tilde{\pi}_\sigma \tilde{\theta}_\tau \mathbf{x}^\lambda, \tag{39}$$

where the left hand side is a non-zero polynomial when $t = 0$. Therefore, the number of cancellations must be equal to $t^{\operatorname{twinv}_\pi(\alpha,\sigma)}$, giving the desired form. □

7. Polynomial expansions

As before, let $\gamma \sim \mu$ indicate that the parts of γ is a permutation of the parts of μ, where γ and μ are compositions with the same number of parts.

Theorem 29. *The symmetric Macdonald polynomials* $\mathrm{P}_\lambda(\mathbf{x};q,t)$*, indexed by partitions* λ*, expands in the permuted basement Macdonald polynomials as*

$$\mathrm{P}_\lambda(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \prod_{u\in\lambda} \left(1 - q^{1+\operatorname{leg} u} t^{\operatorname{arm} u}\right) \cdot \sum_{\gamma\sim\lambda} \frac{t^{\operatorname{twinv}_\pi(\gamma,\sigma)} \mathrm{E}^\sigma_\gamma(\mathbf{x};q,t)}{\prod_{v\in\gamma}\left(1 - q^{1+\operatorname{leg} v} t^{\operatorname{arm} v}\right)}. \tag{40}$$

Proof. In [HHL08, Prop. 5.3.1], the following expansion is obtained:

$$\mathrm{P}_\lambda(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \prod_{u\in\lambda} \left(1 - q^{1+\operatorname{leg} u} t^{\operatorname{arm} u}\right) \cdot \sum_{\gamma\sim\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{id}}_\gamma(\mathbf{x};q,t)}{\prod_{v\in\gamma}\left(1 - q^{1+\operatorname{leg} v} t^{\operatorname{arm} v}\right)}. \tag{41}$$

We apply $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma$ on both sides. The resulting expression in the right hand side follows from Corollary 16, and Lemma 11 implies that $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma$ acts as the identity on the symmetric polynomial in the left hand side. □

As a corollary, we get the following positive expansion of Hall–Littlewood polynomials in permuted-basement t-atoms, by letting $q = 0$ in (40). This extends a result in [HLMvW11].

Corollary 30 (Hall–Littlewood in permuted-basement t-atoms)**.** *The Hall–Littlewood polynomials* $\mathrm{P}_\lambda(\mathbf{x};t)$ *expands positively into permuted-basement* t*-atoms:*

$$\mathrm{P}_\lambda(\mathbf{x};t) = \sum_{\gamma\sim\lambda} t^{\operatorname{twinv}_\pi(\gamma,\sigma)} \mathcal{A}^\sigma_\gamma(\mathbf{x};t). \tag{42}$$

Recall the classical expansion of Schur polynomials in terms of Hall–Littlewood polynomials,

$$\mathrm{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mu\vdash|\lambda|} K_{\lambda\mu}(t) \mathrm{P}_\mu(\mathbf{x};t)$$

where $K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ are the Kostka–Foulkes polynomials. These are known to be polynomials with non-negative integer coefficients and have a combinatorial interpretation, see [LS78]. Corollary 30 implies the following positive expansion:

Corollary 31 (Schur in permuted-basement t-atoms)**.** *If λ is a partition, then*

$$\mathrm{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\gamma \vdash |\lambda|} t^{\mathrm{twinv}_\pi(\gamma,\sigma)} K_{\lambda\gamma}(t) \mathcal{A}^\sigma_\gamma(\mathbf{x}; t), \tag{43}$$

where the sum now is taken over compositions of $|\lambda|$ and $K_{\lambda\gamma}(t) = K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ if $\gamma \sim \mu$.

A combinatorial proof of this identity in the case $t = 0$ appear in [Mas08] in the case $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ and the case with a general σ and $t = 0$ will appear in [Pun16].

To give an overview over positive expansions of polynomials in other bases, we present an overview in Fig. 1. The proofs of these expansions can be found in the references.



FIGURE 1. This graph shows various families of polynomials. The arrows indicate "expands positively in" which means that the coefficients are polynomials with non-negative coefficients. The proofs of the dashed edges are to appear in [Pun16].

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Jim Haglund for suggesting the topic and for providing insightful comments. Many thanks goes to Anna Pun for interesting discussions regarding permuted-basement atoms. This work has been funded by the *Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation* (2013.03.07).

APPENDIX: EXAMPLES OF PERMUTED-BASEMENT MACDONALD POLYNOMIALS

Here are some explicitly computed non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials with a permuted basement.

$$\mathrm{E}_{110}^{321}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{(1-t)^2x_1x_2}{(1-qt)\,(1-qt^2)} + \frac{t(1-t)x_1x_2}{1-qt^2} + \frac{(1-t)x_1x_3}{1-qt} + x_2x_3 \qquad (\heartsuit)$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{110}^{312}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{qt(1-t)^2x_1x_2}{(1-qt)\,(1-qt^2)} + \frac{(1-t)x_1x_2}{1-qt^2} + \frac{q(1-t)x_2x_3}{1-qt} + x_1x_3 \qquad (\diamondsuit)$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{110}^{213}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{q(1-t)^2x_1x_3}{(1-qt)\,(1-qt^2)} + \frac{qt(1-t)x_1x_3}{1-qt^2} + \frac{q(1-t)x_2x_3}{1-qt} + x_1x_2 \qquad (\spadesuit)$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{110}^{231}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{qt(1-t)^2x_1x_3}{(1-qt)\,(1-qt^2)} + \frac{(1-t)x_1x_3}{1-qt^2} + \frac{(1-t)x_1x_2}{1-qt} + x_2x_3 \qquad (\heartsuit)$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{110}^{132}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{q(1-t)^2x_2x_3}{(1-qt)\,(1-qt^2)} + \frac{qt(1-t)x_2x_3}{1-qt^2} + \frac{(1-t)x_1x_2}{1-qt} + x_1x_3 \qquad (\diamondsuit)$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{110}^{123}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{q^2t(1-t)^2x_2x_3}{(1-qt)\,(1-qt^2)} + \frac{q(1-t)x_2x_3}{1-qt^2} + \frac{q(1-t)x_1x_3}{1-qt} + x_1x_2 \qquad (\spadesuit)$$

Note that the indicated pairs of polynomials coincide when simplified. This is a consequence of Theorem 22.

$$\mathrm{E}_{012}^{321}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{q(1-t)x_2x_3x_1}{1-qt^2} + x_2x_1^2, \quad \mathrm{E}_{012}^{312}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{q(1-t)tx_1x_3x_2}{1-qt^2} + x_1x_2^2$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{012}^{213}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{(1-t)x_1x_2x_3}{1-qt^2} + x_1x_3^2, \quad \mathrm{E}_{012}^{231}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{q(1-t)tx_2x_3x_1}{1-qt^2} + x_3x_1^2$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{012}^{132}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{(1-t)x_1x_3x_2}{1-qt^2} + x_3x_2^2, \quad \mathrm{E}_{012}^{123}(\mathbf{x};q,t) = \frac{(1-t)tx_1x_2x_3}{1-qt^2} + x_2x_3^2$$

References

[BF97] T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester, *A q-analogue of the type A Dunkl operator and integral kernel*, International Mathematics Research Notices (1997), no. 12, 667–686.

[Che95] Ivan Cherednik, *Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald's conjectures*, Annals of Mathematics **141** (1995), no. 1, 191–216.

[Che12] I. Cherednik, *Jones polynomials of torus knots via DAHA*, International Mathematics Research Notices (2012).

[Deh08] Patrick Dehornoy, *Efficient solutions to the braid isotopy problem*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **156** (2008), no. 16, 3091–3112.

[Fer11] Jeffrey Paul Ferreira, *Row-strict quasisymmetric Schur functions, characterizations of Demazure atoms, and permuted basement nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials*, Ph.D. thesis, University of California Davis, 2011.

[FM15a] Evgeny Feigin and Ievgen Makedonskyi, *Generalized Weyl modules, alcove paths and Macdonald polynomials*, arxiv:1512.03254.

[FM15b] ———, *Nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials and PBW filtration: Towards the proof of the Cherednik–Orr conjecture*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **135** (2015), 60–84.

[Hag07] James Haglund, *The q,t-Catalan Numbers and the Space of Diagonal Harmonics (University Lecture Series)*, American Mathematical Society, 2007.

[HHL08] James Haglund, Mark Haiman, and Nick Loehr, *A Combinatorial Formula for Nonsymmetric Macdonald Polynomials*, American Journal of Mathematics **130** (2008), no. 2, 359–383.

[HLMvW11] James Haglund, Kurt W. Luoto, Sarah Mason, and Stephanie van Willigenburg, *Quasisymmetric Schur functions*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **118** (2011), no. 2, 463 – 490.

[HMR12] James Haglund, Sarah Mason, and Jeffrey Remmel, *Properties of the nonsymmetric Robinson–Schensted–Knuth algorithm*, J. Algebr. Comb **38** (2012), no. 2, 285–327.

[Kno97] Friederich Knop, *Integrality of two variable Kostka functions*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **482** (1997), 177–190.

[LLT97] Alain Lascoux, Bernard Leclerc, and Jean-yves Thibon, *Ribbon Tableaux, Hall-Littlewood Functions, Quantum Affine Algebras And Unipotent Varieties*, J. Math. Phys **38** (1997), 1041–1068.

[LR13] Janine LoBue and Jeffrey Remmel, *A Murnaghan-Nakayama Rule for Generalized Demazure atoms*, DMTCS Proceedings **0** (2013), no. 01.

[LS78] Alain Lascoux and Marcel-Paul Schützenberger, *Sur une conjecture de H. O. Foulkes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **286** (1978), no. 7, A323–A324. MR 0472993 (57 #12672)

[LS90] ———, *Keys & standard bases*, Invariant theory and tableaux (Minneapolis, MN, 1988), IMA Vol. Math. Appl., vol. 19, Springer, New York, 1990, pp. 125–144. MR 1035493 (91c:05198)

[Mac95] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications. MR MR1354144 (96h:05207)

[Mar99] Dan Marshall, *Symmetric and nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials*, Annals of Combinatorics **3** (1999), no. 2, 385–415.

[Mas08] Sarah Mason, *A decomposition of Schur functions and an analogue of the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth algorithm*, Seminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire (2008), no. B57e.

[Mas09] ———, *An explicit construction of type A Demazure atoms*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics **29** (2009), no. 3, 295–313.

[MN98] Katsuhisa Mimachi and Masatoshi Noumi, *A reproducing kernel for nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials*, Duke Math. J. **91** (1998), no. 3, 621–634.

[PH15] Cristian Lenart Patricia Hersh, *From the weak Bruhat order to crystal posets*, 1–27, arXiv:1510.05636.

[Pun16] Anna Pun, *Unpublished*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 2016.

[RS95] Victor Reiner and Mark Shimozono, *Key polynomials and a flagged Littlewood-Richardson rule*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **70** (1995), no. 1, 107–143. MR 1324004 (96i:05175)

[RY11] Arun Ram and Martha Yip, *A combinatorial formula for macdonald polynomials*, Advances in Mathematics **226** (2011), no. 1, 309–331.

[Sah96] Siddhartha Sahi, *Interpolation, integrality, and a generalization of Macdonald's polynomials*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **1996** (1996), no. 10, 457.

Dept. of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania. Philadelphia, PA

E-mail address: per.w.alexandersson@gmail.com